Chem 120A Midterm 2

Justin Yeung

TOTAL POINTS

23 / 30

QUESTION 1

- 1 Question 1 2 / 2
 - √ + 2 pts -i or 1/i
 - + 1 pts Correct start, mathematical error
 - + 0 pts Incorrect

QUESTION 2

2 Question 2 2 / 2

 \checkmark + 1 pts Not Hermitian (with fully correct assumptions). Could only receive this in conjunction with item 2.

 \checkmark + 1 pts Because a^dagger not equal to a (or statement of the relation)

+ **O pts** Incorrect assumption (commute = Hermitian) leading to statement of non-Hermitian. Commuting is a consequence of self-adjointness, not a cause.

+ **0 pts** Incorrect assumption leading to statement of non-Hermitian

+ 0 pts Incorrect

+ **0 pts** Commute = Hermitian

QUESTION 3

3 Question 3 2 / 2

 \checkmark + 1 pts a^dagger a | psi> = w | psi>

 \checkmark + 1 pts < psi | a^dagger a | psi > = < psi' | psi' >, which must be greater than or equal to 0. Refer to class notes from 2/22. This proof is the only acceptable answer.

+ **O pts** Incorrect. Note: $a^dagger a = h - 1/2$. Also note that the killer condition is a byproduct of, not the cause of, this fact.

+ 1 pts Almost full proof, minor error

QUESTION 4

4 Question 4 4 / 4

 \checkmark + 2 pts If they have common eigenvectors, they must commute

\checkmark + 2 pts Explicitly compute commutator using either a/a^dagger or r/q versions of operators

+ **4 pts** Fully correct proof without commutation using h = a^dagger a + 1/2

+ 0 pts Incorrect

+ 1 pts Commutator attempt with a mistake,

incomplete

+ **2 pts** Statement similar to the proof for item 3, no proof. Or, attempt at proof that has a minor mistake or is incomplete.

 - 1 pts Incorrect definition of h (correct: h = a^dagger a + 1/2)

QUESTION 5

5 Question 5 2 / 2

 \checkmark + 1 pts [Li,Lj] not zero. Hence, you can only know one direction

 \checkmark + 1 pts [L^2,Lj]=0. Hence you are allowed to know one component and L^2

+ 0 pts incorrect

QUESTION 6

6 Question 6 2 / 2

√ + 1 pts m=2

 \checkmark + 1 pts Hence, I must be greater equal than 2

because I=m_max

+ 0 pts incorrect

QUESTION 7

7 Question 7 0 / 2

- + 1 pts [L^2,L_] =0, they commute
- + 1 pts L^2 (L_|x>) = L_(L^2|x>)
- ✓ + 0 pts incorrect

QUESTION 8

```
8 Question 8 3 / 3
```

```
\checkmark + 1 pts Energy levels are 0 2 6 and 12 for J = 0, 1, 2,
```

3

 \checkmark + 1 pts ΔJ = +/-1 bc it has a permanent dipole

moment

 \checkmark + 1 pts Show allowed transition in the sketch.

+ 0 pts Click here to replace this description.

QUESTION 9

9 Question 9 2 / 2

- √ + 1 pts E^(0)_0=H[1,1]= -1
- √ + 1 pts E^(1)_0=V[1,1]=1

+ 0 pts incorrect.

+ **0 pts** No bonus point was given for this question

QUESTION 10

10 Question 10 1 / 3

 \checkmark + 1 pts Only the third excited state couples with the 1st excited state (V[4,2]=1, others are 0)

- + 1 pts c_(41)= -V41/(E_4 E_1)
- + 1 pts c_(41)= -1/7
- + 0 pts incorrect.

QUESTION 11

11 Question 11 1 / 2

- + 1 pts If there are degenerate states / Ic_ijl is large
- + 1 pts applies here as E^(0)_2=E^(0)_3 hence lc_23

I is not well defined

\checkmark + 1 pts Perturbation needs be small/ mutually

exclusive to 1

+ 0 pts incorrect.

QUESTION 12

12 Question 12 2 / 4

+ 1 pts Choose states 2 and 3 because the energies

of 1 and 4 are too low/high

\checkmark + 1 pts Set up the H-Matrix

\checkmark + 1 pts solve for the eigenvalues

- + 1 pts Pick the right eigenvalue
- + 0 pts incorrect.

Chemistry 120A

Spring Semester, 2019; Prof. Head-Gordon 2nd Mid-Term Exam: Thursday March 21

Justin Jena Name:

Instructions: (1) Keep calm, write clearly so we can give partial credit! Do all questions. (2) The exam is closed book.

(3) The exam has 6 pages (use blank back sides as scratch paper (not graded).

Grade:

Problem 1: Harmonic oscillator (10 points)

Problem 2: Angular momentum (9 points)

Problem 3: Approximation methods (11 points)

Total (30 points)

Useful facts and figures:

1

 $h=6.626755 \times 10^{-34} \text{ J s}$ $k=1.380658 \times 10^{-23} \text{ J K}^{-1}$ $N_{A}=6.022137 \times 10^{23} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ $1 \text{ eV} = 1.60219 \times 10^{-19} \text{ J}$ $\hbar = h/(2\pi)$ $ax^{2} + bx + c = 0$ $\Rightarrow x = \left(-b \pm \sqrt{b^{2} - 4ac}\right)/2a$

 $\hat{H} = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + V(x)$ $\hat{p} = \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ $\hat{H} |\psi\rangle = \frac{-\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\psi\rangle$ $\Delta p \Delta x \ge \hbar/2$ $\begin{bmatrix} \hat{A}, \hat{B} \end{bmatrix} = \hat{A}\hat{B} - \hat{B}\hat{A}$

Juctin Y.

Question I. The harmonic oscillator problem involves the dimensionless Hamiltonian:

$$\hat{h} = \frac{1}{2}\hat{r}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\hat{q}^2$$

Here a dimensionless position is q, and a dimensionless momentum is $\hat{r} = \frac{1}{i} \frac{d}{dq}$.

1. (2 points) Evaluate the commutator $[\hat{r}, \hat{q}]$. Show all working for full credit.

Since
$$\hat{\alpha}^{\dagger} \neq \hat{\alpha}$$
, $\hat{\alpha}$ is not hermitian

3. (2 points) Show that the eigenvalues of $\hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{a}$ cannot be negative. normalized experimentation Sauf L is some eigenvalue of $\hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{a}$ on [Lm] $\hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{a}$ [Lm] = ω [Lm] $\hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{a}$ [Lm] = ω [Lm] $\hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{a}$ [Lm] = $\langle lm| \omega| lm \rangle \neq \rho_{0}$ ject with $\langle lm| \hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{a} | lm \rangle = \langle \hat{a} lm| \hat{a} lm \rangle \neq 0$ $\omega = \langle \hat{a} lm| \hat{a} lm \rangle \neq 0$ $\omega = \langle \hat{a} lm| \hat{a} lm \rangle \neq 0$ $\sum_{2} \frac{18 + \omega_{0}}{18 + \omega_{0}} \frac{1}{14 + \omega_{0$

4. (4 points) Suppose we have an eigenvector of
$$\hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{a}$$
. Show that it is also an eigenvector of \hat{h} .

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{a}, \hat{h} \end{bmatrix} = 0 \qquad (f + how) \in common eigenvectors, then \\ \begin{bmatrix} \hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{a}, \hat{h} \end{bmatrix} = 0 \qquad (f + how) \in common eigenvectors, then \\ \hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{a} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{q} - \hat{c}\hat{r})^{\dagger}\hat{q}\hat{q}^{\dagger}\hat{\tau}\hat{c}\hat{l} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{q}\hat{q} + \hat{c}\hat{q}\hat{r} - \hat{c}\hat{r}\hat{q} + \hat{c}\hat{r}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{q}\hat{q} + \hat{c}\hat{q}\hat{r} - \hat{c}\hat{r}\hat{q} + \hat{c}\hat{r}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{q}\hat{q} + \hat{c}\hat{q}\hat{r} - \hat{c}\hat{r}\hat{q} + \hat{c}\hat{r}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{q}\hat{q}^{2} + \hat{c}\hat{q}\hat{r} - \hat{c}\hat{r}\hat{q} + \hat{c}\hat{r}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{q}\hat{q}^{2} + \hat{c}\hat{q}\hat{r} - \hat{c}\hat{r}\hat{q} + \hat{c}\hat{q}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{q}\hat{q}^{2} + \hat{c}\hat{q}\hat{r} - \hat{c}\hat{r}\hat{q} + \hat{c}\hat{q}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{q}\hat{q}\hat{r} + \hat{c}\hat{q}\hat{r}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{q}\hat{r}\hat{q}\hat{r} + \hat{c}\hat{q}\hat{r}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{q}\hat{r}\hat{r}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{q}\hat{r}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{r}\hat{r}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{r}\hat{r}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{r}\hat{r}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{r}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat$$

Tistin Y.

Question II. Classically, the angular momentum vector is defined as the cross product of the position vector **r** and the momentum vector **p**, $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{p}$, which then leads to the quantum operator: $\hat{\mathbf{L}} = \hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \hat{\mathbf{p}}$. The components of $\hat{\mathbf{L}}$ do not commute; for example: $[\hat{L}_x, \hat{L}_y] = i\hbar \hat{L}_z$, etc. However the components do commute with total angular momentum, $\hat{L}^2 = \hat{\mathbf{L}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{L}}$, so that $[\hat{L}^2, \hat{L}_j] = 0$ for j=x, y, z.

5. (2 points) Use the commutation relations to show what information can be known about angular momentum in a given eigenstate of rotational motion, $|\chi\rangle$.

. 3

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2^{2}, 2^{2}, 3 \end{bmatrix} = 0 \quad \text{for } j = x, y, z$$

$$\begin{array}{c} & \text{ we can know both total angular momentum and} \\ & \text{ only one of the components in a given eigenstate } x \end{array} \\ & \left\{ 2^{2} | x \right\} = \pi^{2} e(eH) | x \\ & \left\{ 2^{3} | x \right\} = \pi^{2} e(eH) | x \end{array}$$

6. (2 points) If it is known that $\hat{L}_z |\chi\rangle = 2\hbar |\chi\rangle$ then explain (with logic) what eigenvalues of $\hat{L}^2 = \hat{L} \cdot \hat{L}$ are *not* possible for the state $|\chi\rangle$. (Note that if $|\chi\rangle$ is an eigenstate of \hat{L}^2 then its eigenvalues are $\hat{L}^2 |\chi\rangle = \hbar^2 l(l+1) |\chi\rangle$ where l is zero or a positive integer). If LZ IX> = 2th IX>, then we know the angular momentum eigenvalue via the Z-axis 15 2, which is the m value. The m value takes form of m=l, l-1, l-2, -l. Thus l=2,3,4,5. Thus, eigenvalues of l = 1 or 0 are possible. From logic, $l^2 >> \hat{L}_z$ since it is the sum of all of its components squared (which can't be negative), so the total angular momentum eigenvalue always greater than that of component. 7. (2 points) If we form an angular momentum destruction operator as $\hat{L}_{-} = \hat{L}_x - \hat{L}_y$, then show

Justin Y.

whether or not $\hat{L}_{-}|\chi\rangle$ has the same eigenvalue of \hat{L}^{2} as $|\chi\rangle$ itself.

Only alla

$$\hat{L}_{1}(X) = (\hat{L}_{X} - \hat{L}_{Y})|X\rangle = \hat{L}_{X}|X7 - \hat{L}_{Y}|X\rangle$$

$$= F_{1}\omega_{1}|X7 - F_{1}\omega_{2}|X7 = F_{1}\omega_{1}|X7$$

$$\hat{L}^{2}(\hat{L}_{1}|X) = [F_{2}(\hat{L})(\hat{L}_{1})]|F_{1}|W_{1}|X7$$
Serme elegenvalue since L^{2} 's expensiones
do not mix wil \hat{L}_{-} expensiones, so L^{2} 's values
are not affecting.

8. (3 points) The HCl molecule's rotational energy is described by the Hamiltonian, $\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2}\hat{L}^2/I$ where I is the moment of inertia of the molecule. Sketch the energy level diagram including the first 4 levels, and show what transitions are allowed, if any.

$$E = \frac{\pi}{21} J(Jrt) \text{ for linear modelles}$$

$$E_{1} = \frac{\pi}{21} (Irt)(1) = \frac{\pi}{21}(2) = \frac{\pi}{2}$$

$$E_{2} = \frac{\pi}{21}(2)(2rt) = \frac{\pi}{21}(2) = 3\frac{\pi}{2}$$

$$E_{3} = \frac{\pi}{21}(3)(3rt) = \frac{\pi}{21}(22) = 0\frac{\pi}{2}$$

$$E_{4} = \frac{\pi}{21}(4)(4rt) = \frac{\pi}{21}(20) = 10\frac{\pi}{2}$$

$$E_{4} = \frac{\pi}{21}(4)(4rt) = \frac{\pi}{21}(20) = 10\frac{\pi}{2}$$

$$E_{5} = \frac{\pi}{21}(4)(4rt) = \frac{\pi}{21}(20) = 10\frac{\pi}{2}$$

$$E_{7} = \frac{\pi}{21}(4)(4rt) = \frac{\pi}{21}(20) = 10\frac{\pi}{2}$$

$$E_{7} = \frac{\pi}{21}(4)(4rt) = \frac{\pi}{21}(20) = 10\frac{\pi}{2}$$

$$E_{7} = \frac{\pi}{2}(4)(4rt) = \frac{\pi}{21}(20) = 10\frac{\pi}{2}$$

$$E_{7} = \frac{\pi}{2}(4)(4rt) = \frac{\pi}{21}(20) = 10\frac{\pi}{2}$$

$$E_{7} = \frac{\pi}{2}(4)(4rt) = \frac{\pi}{21}(4)(4rt) = \frac{\pi}{21}(4)(4)(4rt) = \frac{\pi}{21}(4)$$

Justin Y.

Question III. Approximation methods. Let us consider a 4-level system, with an unperturbed Hamiltonian $\hat{H}^{(0)}$, subject to a perturbation $\hat{H}^{(1)} = \lambda \hat{V}^{(1)}$. In the basis of unperturbed eigenstates (let's label them by their row and column position 1,2,3,4):

$$\mathbf{H}^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix}; \qquad \lambda \mathbf{V}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

9. (2 points) Given that perturbation theory for the energy of the *j*th state is: $E_j = E_j^{(0)} + \lambda E_j^{(1)} + \lambda^2 E_j^{(2)} + \dots$ and $E_j^{(1)} = \left\langle \Psi_j^{(0)} \middle| \hat{V}_j^{(1)} \middle| \Psi_j^{(0)} \right\rangle$, obtain the zero order energy and the first order correction for the ground state of the 4-level system above.

graind state -4=1:7

$$E_{0}^{(0)} = \langle \mathcal{T}_{0}^{(0)} | \mathcal{H}_{0}^{(0)} | \mathcal{T}_{0}^{(0)} \rangle = \mathcal{H}_{00}^{(0)} = \left[-1 \text{ for } \text{zero order } \mathbb{E} \text{ } \operatorname{equal state} \right]$$

$$E_{0}^{(1)} = \langle \mathcal{T}_{0}^{(0)} | \mathcal{V}_{0}^{(1)} | \mathcal{T}_{0}^{(0)} \rangle = \mathcal{V}_{00}^{(1)} = 1$$

$$\rightarrow \text{ total first order correction} = \mathcal{L}_{0}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \right]$$

- 10. (3 points) Which excited states have non-zero coupling with the ground state in first order perturbation theory? Evaluate any non-zero couplings, recalling that the first order perturbed wavefunction is $|\Psi_{j}^{(i)}\rangle = \sum_{k \neq j} |\Psi_{k}^{(0)}\rangle c_{kj}^{(i)}$, and $c_{kj}^{(i)} = -\langle \Psi_{k}^{(0)} | \hat{V}^{(i)} | \Psi_{j}^{(0)} \rangle / (E_{k}^{(0)} E_{j}^{(0)})$. $|\mathcal{L}=0 = ground \stackrel{k \neq j}{\leq} totte$ $() \quad C_{01}^{(i)} = -\langle \mathcal{V}_{0}^{(i)} | \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{(i)} | \mathcal{V}_{1}^{(0)} \rangle = -\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{01}^{(i)} = -\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{02}^{(i)} = -\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{02}^{(i)} = -\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{02}^{(i)} = -\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{01}^{(i)} = -\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{02}^{(i)} = -\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{$
 - 11. (2 points) Under what conditions does second order perturbation theory for the energy fail, in the sense that the power series might not be converging? Would such conditions apply to any states in this problem? (recall that $E_j^{(2)} = \left\langle \Psi_j^{(0)} \middle| \hat{V}^{(1)} \middle| \Psi_j^{(1)} \right\rangle$, with $\left| \Psi_j^{(1)} \right\rangle$ defined above) Since $\lambda < 1$, we expect the power series to converge in our case, as the perturbations are λ^n or $\frac{1}{2^n}$ which ultimately converges of the methods of the series will not converge either. $E_j^{(2)} = 0 = \langle \Psi_j^{(0)} \middle| \Psi_j^{(1)} \right\rangle \Rightarrow \left| V_{1j} V_{ji} = 0 \right|$ finite converge either.

12. (4 points) Apply the linear variational method (recall that for orthonormal functions this is solving HC = CE, where C contains the expansion coefficients) with two trial functions to

model the first excited state of the 4-level system above. State clearly which 2 states you choose to use, and why, and then evaluate the variational energy, reporting your two values.

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}^{(0)} + \hat{\Lambda}\hat{V}^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{$$

To model the transition to the first excited state, we will pick the two that functions to be ground and <u>It excited</u> state ([:]] and [:]] since this is what the problem wants since it's going from ground to ist excited state. Because of this, we can simply the motivity to be it's top left quadrant:

$$\hat{H} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\hat{H} \subseteq = E \subseteq$$

$$(\hat{H} - ES) \subseteq = 0 \qquad S = S_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & if j = i \\ 0 & if j \neq i \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1/2 - E & 0 \\ 0 & 3 - E \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

$$(-\frac{1}{2} - E) (3 - E) = 0$$

$$\boxed{E = -\frac{1}{2} \text{ and } 3}$$