# CS 70Discrete Mathematics for CSFall 2001WagnerMidterm 1

PRINT your name:

SIGN your name;

This exam is closed-book, closed-notes. One page of notes is permitted. Calculators are permitted. Do all your work on the pages of this examination.

You have 2 hours. There are 4 questions, of varying credit (50 points total). You should be able to finish all the questions, so avoid spending too long on any one question.

# 1. (12 pts.) Short-answer questions

Translate each of the following claims into symbolic form. For instance, a good translation of "*n* is either at least three or at most five" would be " $n \ge 3 \lor n \le 5$ ."

Then, state whether the claim is true or false, and briefly justify your answer.

(a) [3 pts.] There is some natural number whose square root is not a natural number.

(b) [4 pts.] For every natural number *n*, one can find another natural number *m* that is strictly smaller than *n*.

(c) [5 pts.] For each natural number k there is some lower bound  $\ell$  so that  $k^n \ge n!$  when  $n \ge \ell$ .

# 2. (12 pts.) Reachability

In chess, a bishop can move diagonally in any of the four directions. Consider a  $3 \times 3$  board, with a bishop initially placed at the location marked 'B' (see below). Prove that it can never reach the square marked 'X'.

В	
	Х

### 3. (16 pts.) Proof by induction

Let the sequence  $a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots$  be defined by the recurrence relation

 $a_n = 2a_{n-1} - a_{n-2}$  for  $n \ge 2$  and  $a_0 = 1, a_1 = 2$ .

Consider the following argument:

**Theorem 1**  $a_n \le n + 2$  for all  $n \ge 0$ .

**Proof:** We use strong induction on *n*. The base cases n = 0 and n = 1 hold, since  $a_0 = 1 \le 0 + 2$  and  $a_1 = 2 \le 1 + 2$ . Now if  $a_i \le i + 2$  for each i = 0, 1, ..., n - 1, for some  $n \ge 2$ , then we have

$$a_n = 2a_{n-1} - a_{n-2} \le 2((n-1)+2) - ((n-2)+2) \le 2n+2 - n \le n+2,$$

which shows that  $a_n \le n + 2$  holds for all  $n \ge 0$ .  $\Box$ 

(a) [6 pts.] Critique the above proof.

(b) [10 pts.] Give a better proof of the theorem.

## 4. (10 pts.) Matchings

Recall that a *matching* on *n* boys and *m* girls is a pairing where each boy is married to exactly one girl and each girl is married to exactly one boy.

(c) [5 pts.] Let *M* be a stable matching on *n* boys and *n* girls where Alice is paired with Bob. Now Alice and Bob fly off the Bermuda on vacation. We are left with a matching, call it *L*, on the remaining *n*-1 boys and *n*-1 girls according to who is still paired up. Is *L* guaranteed to be a *stable* matching, if *M* is stable? Prove your answer.

(d) [5 pts.] If M, M' are two matchings, let M ∪ M' denote the configuration where each girl is married to the better of her two partners in M and M' (according to that girl's preference list). Is M ∪ M' guaranteed to be a matching? Prove your answer.
(Note that none of the matchings here are required to be stable.)

Finished! You're done; this is the last page; there are no more questions.