

George M. Bergman  
9 Evans Hall

Fall 2000, Math 104, Section 2

7 November, 2000

**Second Midterm**

11:10-12:30 PM

1. (20 points, 10 points apiece) Complete each of the following definitions. (Do not give examples or other additional facts about the concepts defined. Note that in each definition there is both a short phrase and a longer part to be filled in.)

(a) If  $X$  is a metric space and  $p$  a point of  $X$ , then a function  $f$  from  $X$  to ..... is said to have a *local maximum* at  $p$  if

(b) If  $\alpha$  is ..... on an interval  $[a, b]$ , then  $\mathcal{R}(\alpha)$  denotes the set of all real-valued functions  $f$  which

2. (40 points; 8 points each.) For each of the items listed below, either *give an example*, or give a brief reason why *no example exists*. (If you give an example, you do *not* have to prove that it has the property stated.)

(a) A function  $f$  with a discontinuity of the second kind.

(b) A real-valued function  $f$  on  $[0, 1]$  (not necessarily continuous) which does not attain a maximum value.

(c) A function  $f: R \rightarrow R$  such that as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ ,  $f(x)$  does not approach a real number, nor  $+\infty$ , nor  $-\infty$ .

(d) A differentiable function  $f: R \rightarrow R^2$  such that  $f(0) = f(1)$ , but such that  $f'(x)$  is nonzero for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ .

(e) A monotonically decreasing real-valued function  $f$  on  $[0, 1]$  which is not Riemann integrable.

3. (20 points) Let  $f: R \rightarrow R$  be differentiable. Show that if  $f'$  is bounded (i.e., if there exists a real number  $M$  such that  $|f'(x)| \leq M$  for all  $x \in R$ ), then  $f$  is uniformly continuous. (Recall that this conclusion means that  $(\forall \epsilon > 0) (\exists \delta > 0) (\forall x, y) (|x - y| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon)$ .)

4. (20 points) Let  $\alpha$  be an increasing function, and  $f_1, f_2$  arbitrary functions, on an interval  $[a, b]$ . Show that

$$L(P, f_1, \alpha) + L(P, f_2, \alpha) \leq L(P, f_1 + f_2, \alpha).$$

(Rudin writes this inequality without explanation in his proof that the sum of integrable functions is integrable. Suggestion: Use the definitions of these lower sums; in particular, of the terms “ $m_i$ ” they involve. You might call the terms in the three lower sums  $m_i^{(1)}$ ,  $m_i^{(2)}$  and  $m_i^+$  respectively; or simply replace them by their definitions in your proof.)