

# UCB Math 110, Fall 2010: Midterm 1

Prof. Persson, October 4, 2010

Name: Solutions

SID: \_\_\_\_\_

Section: Circle your discussion section below:

Grading

| Sec | Time            | Room            | GSI         |
|-----|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|
| 01  | Wed 8am - 9am   | 87 Evans        | D. Penneys  |
| 02  | Wed 9am - 10am  | 2032 Valley LSB | C. Mitchell |
| 03  | Wed 10am - 11am | B51 Hildebrand  | D. Beraldo  |
| 04  | Wed 11am - 12pm | B51 Hildebrand  | D. Beraldo  |
| 05  | Wed 12pm - 1pm  | 75 Evans        | C. Mitchell |
| 07  | Wed 2pm - 3pm   | 87 Evans        | C. Mitchell |
| 08  | Wed 9am - 10am  | 3113 Etcheverry | I. Ventura  |
| 09  | Wed 2pm - 3pm   | 3 Evans         | D. Penneys  |
| 10  | Wed 12pm - 1pm  | 310 Hearst      | I. Ventura  |

1 / 18

2 / 12

3 / 10

---

/ 40

Other/none, explain: \_\_\_\_\_

## Instructions:

- One double-sided sheet of notes, no books, no calculators.
- Exam time 50 minutes, do all of the problems.
- You must justify your answers for full credit.
- Write your answers in the space below each problem.
- If you need more space, use reverse side or scratch pages.  
Indicate clearly where to find your answers.

1. (6 problems, 3 points each) Label the following statements as TRUE or FALSE, giving a short explanation (e.g. a proof or a counterexample).

a) Let  $V = \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $W = \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$  is isomorphic to  $P_n(\mathbb{R})$ .

F

$$\dim(\mathcal{L}(V, W)) = \dim(V) \dim(W) = n$$

$$\dim(P_n(\mathbb{R})) = n+1.$$

Since the dimensions are not the same,  
~~they cannot~~  $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$  is not isom.  
 to  $P_n(\mathbb{R})$ .

b) Let  $V$  be a vector space and  $T, U : V \rightarrow V$  be two linear operators.  
 Then  $N(U) \subseteq N(TU)$ .

T

Let  $v \in N(U)$ . Then  $Uv = 0$ .

$$\Rightarrow (TU)(v) = T(Uv) = T(0) = 0$$

as  $T$  is linear.

$$\Rightarrow v \in N(TU).$$

c) Let  $V$  be a vector space and  $T, U : V \rightarrow V$  be two linear operators.  
 Then  $R(U) \subseteq R(UT)$ .

F

Suppose  $T : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the zero  
 lin. trans., i.e.,  $Tx = 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Suppose  $U : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the identity  
 lin. trans., i.e.,  $Ux = x \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

$$\text{Then } R(U) = \mathbb{R} \neq \{0\} = R(UT)$$

Note: that  $R(U_2^T) \subseteq R(U) \dots$

1. (cont'd)

True d) The set  $S = \{p \in P(F) : p'(0) = p(0)\}$  is a subspace of  $P(F)$ .

Consider  $T: P(F) \rightarrow F$  with  $T(p(x)) = p'(0) - p(0)$

$T$  is a Linear Transformation and

$S = N(T)$ . Thus  $S$  is a ~~Linear~~ Subspace of  $P(F)$

e) Let  $T: R^2 \rightarrow R^2$  be a linear transformation. Then  $R^2 = N(T) \oplus R(T)$ .

False

Consider  $L_A$  with  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$$R(L_A) = N(L_A) = \text{Span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

thus  $R^2 \neq N(T) \oplus R(T)$ .

f) Let  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  be 3-dimensional subspaces of  $R^5$ . Then  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  must have a common nonzero vector.

True

Let  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  be bases for  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  respectively, with  $\beta_1 = \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$   
 $\beta_2 = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ . If  $\beta_1 \cap \beta_2 \neq \emptyset$  we are done.  
if  $\beta_1 \cap \beta_2 = \emptyset$   $\beta_1 \cup \beta_2$  is linearly dependent.  
thus  $\exists a_i, b_i \in R$  not all 0 st

$$a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2 + a_3 u_3 + b_1 v_1 + b_2 v_2 + b_3 v_3 = 0$$

thus  $a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2 + a_3 u_3 = -b_1 v_1 - b_2 v_2 - b_3 v_3$  giving the result

2. (12 points) Let  $T : M_{2 \times 2}(R) \rightarrow M_{2 \times 2}(R)$  be defined by

$$T(A) = BA - A^t \quad \text{where } B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

a) Prove that  $T$  is a linear transformation.

$$\begin{aligned} T(aA + C) &= B(aA + C) - (aA + C)^t \\ &= B(aA) + BC - (aA)^t - C^t \\ &= aBA - aA^t + BC - C^t \\ &= aT(A) + T(C) \end{aligned}$$

b) Find bases for  $N(T)$  and  $R(T)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \quad T(A) = BA - A^t &= \begin{pmatrix} a+2c & b+2d \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 2c & b+2d-c \\ c-b & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$T(A) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow b=c=d=0 \Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \text{ basis for } N(T)$$

$$\begin{aligned} R(T) &= \text{span} \left( \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \right) \\ &= \text{span} \left( \underbrace{\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}}_{\text{basis for } R(T)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

3. (10 points) Let  $V$  be a vector space, and  $T : V \rightarrow V$  a linear operator. Suppose  $x \in V$  is such that  $T^m(x) = 0$  but  $T^{m-1}(x) \neq 0$  for some positive integer  $m$ . Show that  $\{x, T(x), T^2(x), \dots, T^{m-1}(x)\}$  is linearly independent.

~~We prove by induction~~

~~Assume  $\{x, T(x), \dots, T^{m-k}(x)\}$  is a LI set for  $k < m$ .  
 We want to show  $\{x, T(x), \dots, T^{m-1}(x)\}$  is a LI set.  
 Suppose  $\alpha_0 x + \alpha_1 T(x) + \dots + \alpha_{m-1} T^{m-1}(x) = 0$ .  
 Apply  $T^{m-k}$  to both sides.  $T^{m-k}(T^k(x)) = T^m(x) = 0$ .  
 So  $\alpha_0 T^{m-k}(x) + \dots + \alpha_{m-1} T^{m-1-k}(x) = 0$ .  
 For  $k=1$ ,  $\alpha_0 T^{m-1}(x) + \dots + \alpha_{m-1} T^0(x) = 0$ .  
 Since  $T^{m-1}(x) \neq 0$ ,  $\alpha_0 = 0$ .  
 For  $k=2$ ,  $\alpha_1 T^{m-2}(x) + \dots + \alpha_{m-1} T^{m-2-k}(x) = 0$ .  
 Since  $T^{m-2}(x) \neq 0$ ,  $\alpha_1 = 0$ .  
 By induction,  $\alpha_0 = \alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_{m-1} = 0$ .  
 Thus  $\{x, T(x), \dots, T^{m-1}(x)\}$  is a LI set.~~

Show:  $T^k x \notin \text{Span}(T^{k+1}x, \dots, T^{m-1}x) \quad \forall k \geq 0$

If  $T^k x = \alpha_1 T^{k+1}x + \dots + \alpha_{m-k} T^{m-1}x$

then if we apply  $T^{m-k-1}$  to both sides we see that

$T^k x = 0$  which contradicts our original assumption

$\therefore T^k x \notin \text{Span}(T^{k+1}x, \dots, T^{m-1}x) \quad \forall k$

$\Rightarrow \{T^k x, \dots, T^{m-1}x\}$  is a LI set  $\forall k \geq 0$

$\therefore \{x, T(x), \dots, T^{m-1}(x)\}$  is a LI set